## REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement of February 14, 2006, Applicants elect group 1, claims 1 -- 11, with traverse. Applicants respectfully submit that both group I and group II relate to administration "of the effective amount of the cytokine -- 1 to bind the cytokine-2 receptor." Applicants submit the only difference, which is no influence on the action of the cytokine-- 1, is the way of administration. In claim one, the administration is of the cytokine- 1 itself, while in claim 12 the administration is by a vector capable of expressing an effective amount of the cytokine- 1. For these reasons, Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement between groups I and II be withdrawn and the groups be examined together.

With regard to the election of species, in order to obviate this requirement, Applicants have canceled claims 8 and 9. As no new matter is being added, entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all claims are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Date: March 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Resnick (Reg. No. 34,235)
NIXON PEABODY LLP
100 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 345-6057